SUPERPIXEL SEGMENTATION BASED AUTOMATIC CLOUD DETECTION
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ABSTRACT

The recently increasing development of whole sky imagers enables temporal and spatial high-resolution sky observations. Thin cloud detection for all-sky images is a challenge in ground-based sky-imaging systems because of low contrast and vague boundaries between cloud and sky regions. With an initially over segmented image, in which many regions or superpixels with homogeneous color are detected, an image segmentation is performed by iteratively merging the regions according to a statistical test. During the estimation, thin cloud is detected by minimizing the posterior energy with an iterative procedure. In our proposed algorithm, a series of superpixels could be obtained adaptively by SPS algorithm according to the characteristics of clouds. The k-nearest neighbor classifier is used due to its high performance in solving complex issues, simplicity of implementation and low computational complexity. Seven different sky conditions are distinguished: high thin clouds, high patched cumuliform clouds, stratocumulus clouds, low cumuliform clouds, thick clouds, strati form clouds and clear sky. First calculate a local threshold for each super pixel and then determine a threshold matrix for the whole image. Finally, cloud can be detected by comparing with the obtained threshold matrix. Both subjective and objective evaluation results demonstrate higher accuracy of the algorithm compared with some other algorithms. Experiments on real natural images are conducted to demonstrate the performance of the proposed super pixel segmentation algorithm.

INTRODUCTION

Digital image processing is the use of computer algorithms to perform image processing on digital images. As a subcategory or field of digital signal processing, digital image processing has many advantages over analog image processing. It allows a much wider range of algorithms to be applied to the input data and can avoid problems such as the build-up of noise and signal distortion during processing. Since images are defined over two dimensions (perhaps more) digital image processing may be modeled in the form of multidimensional systems. Clouds play an important role in hydrological cycle and affect the energy balance on local and global scopes via interacting with solar and terrestrial radiation. Most cloud related research requires ground-based cloud observation, such as cloud cover. At present, cloud cover is still estimated by human observers at meteorological observation stations. However, this method takes high cost in terms of human resources, and the results obtained from different observers are often inconsistent. Thus, automatic estimation techniques for cloud cover are eagerly required in this field. To achieve this goal, some instruments for capturing ground-based clouds, such as whole sky imager, total sky imager and infrared cloud analyzer have been developed. Those instruments could obtain
continuous all-sky images with a set time interval. Moreover, a lot of algorithms are proposed to estimate the cloud cover based on these captured all-sky images. Cloud detection, which classifies each pixel of all-sky images into cloud or clear sky element, is needful for cloud cover estimation. Currently, most cloud detection algorithms treat color as the primary characteristic for distinguishing cloud from clear sky. This is due to the scattering difference between cloud particles and air molecules. More specifically, cloud particles scatter similar blue (B) and red (R) intensity, whereas clear sky scatters more B than R intensity. Based on this, Long et al. propose a threshold algorithm for cloud detection according to a certain ratio of R over B intensity using red green blue (RGB) image. Specifically, pixels with R/B greater than 0.6 are identified as cloud, otherwise as clear sky. Kreuter et al. report a different threshold of 1.3 on the B/R ratio for identifying cloud, which is illustrated to be a more suitable choice than the previously mentioned value of 0.6.

RELATED WORK

Nobuyuki otsu [1] has proposed a nonparametric and unsupervised method of automatic threshold selection for picture segmentation is presented. An optimal threshold is selected by the discriminant criterion, namely, so as to maximize the separability of the resultant classes in gray levels. Qingyong Li [2] has proposed thin cloud detection for all-sky images is a challenge in ground-based sky-imaging systems because of low contrast and vague boundaries between cloud and sky regions. In this model, each pixel is represented by a combined-feature vector that aims at improving the disparity between thin cloud and sky. The distribution of each label in the feature space is defined as a Gaussian model. J. Yang et al [3] has proposed an automatic cloud detection algorithm, “green channel background subtraction adaptive threshold” (GB SAT), which incorporates channel selection, background simulation, computation of solar mask and cloud mask, subtraction, adaptive threshold, and binarization. Several experimental cases show that the GBSAT algorithm is robust for all types of test total sky images and has more complete and accurate retrievals of visual effects than those found through traditional methods. Sylvio Luiz [4] has describes the use of multidimensional Euclidean geometric distance (EGD) and Bayesian methods to characterize and classify the sky and cloud patterns present in image pixels. From specific images and using visualization tools, it was noticed that sky and cloud patterns occupy a typical locus on the red–green–blue (RGB) color space. Genkova [5] has takes the effort to resolve uncertainties about global climate change, the Atmospheric Radiation Measurement (ARM) Program (www.arm.gov) is improving the treatment of cloud radiative forcing and feedbacks in general circulation models. Understanding cloud properties and how to predict them is critical because cloud properties may very well change as climate changes. The amount of clouds and cloud spatial and vertical distribution at any given time are required input parameter for improving the performance of general circulation models.

SPS Algorithm

In this project, a novel cloud detection algorithm based on the SPS is proposed. In SPS, the detection results can be obtained by two steps. First, we apply SPS on ground-based cloud a
In this section, we further detect cloud on the basis of SPS. To implement the cloud detection algorithm, we need to calculate the local threshold for each super pixel and the threshold matrix. Specifically, the local threshold can be obtained by the following four steps.

1. **SPS Algorithm**: SPS divides an image into a series of irregular blocks based on texture similarity, brightness similarity, and contour continuity. For a ground-based cloud image, the segmentation can be treated as a graph partitioning problem. The nodes of the graph are the entities that we want to partition, and here, they are the pixels; the edges between two nodes correspond to the similarity between the pixels.

Let \( G = \{ V, E \} \) be a weighted undirected graph, where \( V \) denotes the nodes and \( E \) denotes the edges. The segmentation can be formulated by the following objective function:

\[
\text{arg min}_{N \in \{A, B\}} N \text{cut} = \text{arg min}_{N \in \{A, B\}} \sum_{(i,j) \in E} w_{ij} \delta(y_i,y_j)
\]

where \( \delta(y_i,y_j) \) is the binary indicator function, \( y_i \) and \( y_j \) are the segmentations of node \( i \) and node \( j \), respectively.

2. **Local Threshold Calculation**: The local threshold can be obtained by the following four steps:

   a. **Contour Cues**: The contour cue is defined as the product of the contour and texture cues, which is computed by the intervening contour method.

   b. **Texture Cues**: The texture cue is computed by the \( \chi^2 \) test.

   c. **Normalized Cuts**: The normalized cut algorithm is applied to solve (4.1).

   d. **Threshold Matrix**: The threshold matrix can be obtained by the weighted sum of the local thresholds for each super pixel.

3. **Cloud Detection Scheme**: In this section, we further detect cloud on the basis of SPS. To implement the cloud detection algorithm, we need to calculate the local threshold for each super pixel and the threshold matrix. Specifically, the local threshold can be obtained by the following four steps.

   a. **SPS Algorithm**: SPS divides an image into a series of irregular blocks according to the characteristics of clouds, as shown in Fig. 4.1(c). In most situations, the pixels maintain a high degree of consistency in each super pixel, i.e., they belong to cloud or clear sky elements.

   b. **CLOUD DETECTION SCHEME**: In this section, we further detect cloud on the basis of SPS. To implement the cloud detection algorithm, we need to calculate the local threshold for each super pixel and the threshold matrix. Specifically, the local threshold can be obtained by the following four steps.

   c. **Fig 2**: Represents the overall process of cloud detection.
**Compute the global threshold value**

Compute the global threshold value \( T_g \) on the feature image by the Otsu algorithm, which is based on the different distributions of cloud and clear sky elements in a cloud image, i.e., the distinct bimodal distribution in the histogram derived from the cloud image. We need to find a value which can maximize the variance between cloud and clear sky elements. Formally, the problem can be solved as

\[
V(T) = P_C P_S (\mu_C - \mu_S) \quad (4.2)
\]

where \( P_C \) and \( P_S \) are the occurrence probabilities of the cloud and clear sky elements, respectively. \( \mu_C \) and \( \mu_S \) are the mean of cloud and clear sky, respectively. Finally, \( T_g \) is set to be \( \max \{ V(T) \} \) (1 < \( T < 255 \)).

**Calculate the maximum value**

Calculate the maximum value \( W_{\text{max}} \) and minimum value \( W_{\text{min}} \) for the whole feature image.

**Calculate the maximum value**

Calculate the maximum value \( L_{\text{max}} \) and minimum value \( L_{\text{min}} \) for each super pixel.

**Determine the local threshold**

Determine the local threshold for each super pixel by the following criteria:

\[
T_l = L_{\text{max}}, \quad \text{if} \quad L_{\text{max}} < T_g
\]

\[
T_l = L_{\text{min}}, \quad \text{if} \quad L_{\text{min}} < T_g
\]

\[
T_l = \frac{1}{2} S_l + \frac{1}{2} T_g, \quad \text{otherwise}
\]

where \( S_l \) refers to the threshold of the super pixel, which is also computed by Otsu algorithm. After getting the local threshold \( T_l \) for each super pixel, the corresponding threshold matrix based on all local thresholds can be calculated. In our experiment, we treat the center of each super pixel as the location of local threshold. Based on this, a threshold matrix with the same size as the R-B feature image is obtained by bilinear interpolation. Based on the threshold matrix, we can implement cloud detection in the cloud image. In particular, we compute the difference between the threshold matrix and feature image pixel by pixel. If the result is greater than 0, the corresponding pixel is classified as a cloud element, otherwise as a clear sky one. Fig. 4.2 shows an example for the whole procedure of our cloud detection scheme. Several advantages of our proposed SPS algorithm can be concluded.
1) Our SPS can adapt with the diverse shape, size, and location of clouds and can adaptively divide the image into a series of irregular regions.
2) R-B as feature image is used, which can increase the differences of cloud and clear sky elements.
3) For each super pixel, its local threshold value is calculated, which is suitable for all kinds of cloud images, especially when cloud and clear sky pixels exist in the same super pixel simultaneously.
4) The threshold matrix is calculated by bilinear interpolation, which can guarantee the smoothness of thresholds between different superpixels and avoid the boundary effect in cloud detection. Correspondingly, better detection results should be achieved.

4.3.5 Classification using KNN classifier

Classification using an instance-based classifier can be a simple matter of locating the nearest neighbour in instance space and labelling the unknown instance with the same class label as that of the located (known) neighbour. This approach is often referred to as a nearest neighbour classifier. The downside of this simple approach is the lack of robustness that characterize the resulting classifiers. The high degree of local sensitivity makes nearest neighbour classifiers highly susceptible to noise in the training data. More robust models can be achieved by locating k, where k > 1, neighbours and letting the majority vote decide the outcome of the class labelling. A higher value of k results in a smoother, less locally sensitive, function. The nearest neighbor classifier can be regarded as a special case of the more general k-nearest neighbours classifier, hereafter referred to as a kNN classifier. The drawback of increasing the value of k is of course that as k approaches n, where n is the size of the instance base, the performance of the classifier will approach that of the most straightforward statistical baseline, the assumption that all unknown instances belong to the class most frequently represented in the training data.

This problem can be avoided by limiting the influence of distant instances. One way of doing so is to assign a weight to each vote, where the weight is a function of the distance between the unknown and the known instance. By letting each weight be defined by the inversed squared distance between the known and unknown instances votes cast by distant instances will have very little influence on the decision process compared to instances in the near neighbourhood. Distance weighted voting usually serves as a good middle ground as far as local sensitivity is concerned. Seven different sky conditions are distinguished: high thin clouds, high patched cumuliform clouds, stratocumulus clouds, low cumuliform clouds, thick clouds, strati form clouds and clear sky.

SIMULATION RESULTS

The simulations are done using MATLAB. It first verifies the performance of the SPS algorithm on data sets. The number of superpixels for each cloud image, should be analyzed. Fig 3 shows the input image. Here the input image is given from Kiel data set.
Fig 3 Input Image

Fig 4 Super pixel Segmentation
Fig 4 shows the super pixel segmentation image. For each cluster in the image super pixels are calculated and their boundaries are drawn. In the above figure the super pixel are grouped and it is represented as white boundary.

Fig 5 shows the R-B feature image. The red component and blue component image is first extracted from the input image. Then difference between red and blue component image is calculated. Because red and blue components values are used to differentiate the cloud and sky pixels.
Fig 6 shows the thresholded image. After super pixel segmentation local threshold value is calculated and then threshold matrix is also calculated. After applying the threshold matrix the thresholded image is displayed.

Fig 7 Cloud detection image
Fig 7 shows that the cloud detection image. In this image white color pixels represents cloud pixels and black color represents sky pixels. The final detected image is high patched cumuliform cloud.

CONCLUSION

In the project, an automatic cloud detection algorithm based on SPS is proposed. Compared with the fixed threshold, global threshold, and local threshold interpolation algorithms, the experimental results demonstrate that our algorithm achieves the highest performance for cloud detection. Also the algorithm seven different sky conditions are distinguished: high thin clouds, high patched cumuliform clouds, stratocumulus clouds, low cumuliform clouds, thick clouds, strati form clouds and clear sky.
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